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| Observing Youtube videos targeted towards kids. Building a rubric/ set of rules to help educators find age appropriate Youtube videos and also help Youtube creators that aim towards kids improve their content.  Youtube Children Video standards are under researched and general  Children are affected by observational learning, They see something, the more likely they’ll imitate it.  Children seeing violence were more likely to act aggressive  Children are more likely to imitate a behavior when character is when the character is realistic, similar to child, is praised and can be imitated  Children can pick up/adopt biases from what isn’t shown  Youtube videos for kids should be educational  Research’s Principles for scoring:   1. Age appropriateness 2. Content quality 3. Design features 4. Learning objectives   Use a 3 point scoring system on each video:  0. No evidence  1. Partial evidence  2. Ample Evidence  Each Principle has multiple questions where the result of them is between 0 and 2. Each questions answers are added up  Total score: 0 -17 not recommended  17 to 34 recommended | This aligns with our research purpose to improve Youtube’s kid videos.  Current youtube policies require creator to label if their content is  made for kids or not.  What the visual video content is is important  ^  ^  ^  Visual and auditory information should be considered |
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| “Evaluation tools are needed to verify the credibility, accuracy and reasonableness of YouTube resources for young children (Jones and Cuthrell [2011](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10639-020-10183-7#ref-CR18)).”  A 3-point scoring system was used to assess each question and produce a total numeric assessment per video: No = no evidence (Score = 0 point); Partial evidence (score = 1 point); or Yes = ample evidence (score = 2 points). | |
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| Youtube’s algorithm is insufficient for recommending age appropriate videos to kids due to them relying on users to label/flag it. This is a failure as there are many videos on youtube and there are old videos not labeled.  Uses /r/Elsagate, a reddit forum on channels with inappropriate child content  Goal is to classify youtube videos between suitable, restricted, disturbing, and irrelevant using deep learning  Got 84.3% accuracy  Collected data using Youtube Data API, to get publicly available meta data on youtube videos  Got 64 keywords from title of videos and channels on elsagate  Got 83 keywords from title of videos and channels on fullcartoonsonyoutube  Then added top 10 popular youtube videos for randomness | This paper aims to detect inappropriate youtube videos targeting toddlers. They look based on title, thumbnail, tags. Also they gathered intel from reddit and got the video seeds through Youtube API.  I was on the right track using Youtube Data API.  They used a large dataset  They used LSTM and other models. Very impressive  They had alot of resources  Got 84% accuracy |
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